



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,027	08/27/2003	Bo-Chy Wang	32961/288301	5118

7590 10/03/2005

J. Jason Link, Esq.  
Kilpatrick Stockton LLP  
1001 West Fourth Street  
Winston-Salem, NC 27101

EXAMINER
----------

MUROMOTO JR, ROBERT H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3765

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/649,027		WANG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Robert H. Muromoto, Jr.		3765	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8,9, 16-18, 23, 24, 26, 27, 34-36 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rau et al., US patent 6,634,590.

The limitations recited in claims 1, 4, and 5, have been cited as well known and conventional practice in the art of yarn feeding to looms by the instant “Background of the Invention”.

The only limitation that is not implicitly disclosed in the “Background” is that the fill yarn be payed out from the outside of the forming package. This limitation is considered to be inherent to the disclosure in the “Background” because if the yarn were not payed out from somewhere “outside of the forming package” then the yarn would not be able to be payed out at all.

Rau discloses a winding configuration for yarn on a bobbin for use in a weaving operation to reduce bobbin pay-out failures due to yarn-on-yarn abrasion and reduce handling.

Rau is “suitable for forming yarns that have little or no twist, typically referred to as zero-twist yarn, which is well known to those skilled in the art (col. 6, lines 65-68).”

Art Unit: 3765

Rau also discloses, "To align and control the deposition of the strand around the barrel of the bobbin 28, the strand is passed through a traveler 36, or traverse, slidably engaged with a ring 40, which in turn is reciprocated along a central axis of rotation 42 of the bobbin 28 as the strand 14 is wound around the bobbin 28 to form the wound package 12 (col. 7, line 35-41)."

This arrangement corresponds to the "at least one payout ring", as recited by instant invention.

Referring to figure 1, the bobbin holder in Rau is coupled to a stand 52 as claimed. The package or bobbin in Rau is vertically oriented as claimed. Rau also discloses an eyelet 24 for guiding a yarn to a further area or device. The claims recite the yarn being guided to a loom. There is nothing structurally that would not allow the yarn in Rau to be led to a loom or any other device.

The payout arrangement in Rau also clearly discloses a payout ring with a diameter larger than that of the package to be formed.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 25, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rau in view of Mori US patent 4,959,951.

Art Unit: 3765

Although Rau teaches all of the limitations above, Rau does not specifically state the use of spokes connecting a payout ring to the hub of the device.

However, the use of spokes in the structure of circular mechanical devices is a widely known and conventional practice. Spokes are known to decrease the weight requirement while still providing dimensional stability. As evidence, the examiner has cited Mori. Mori teaches a yarn guide and winding device that uses a ring member with spokes to connect the ring to the hub of the device.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a ring-hub configuration that utilized spokes to connect the ring to the hub to provide a lightweight yet dimensionally stable connection to the device.

Claims 10-15, 19-22, 28-33, 37-40, 44-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rau.

Although Rau teaches all of the limitations listed above Rau does not specifically teach horizontal orientation of the forming package or the addition of another forming package arrangement having all the same limitations as the first forming package arrangement.

With respect to the horizontal arrangement, absent any criticality or unexpected results arising from the horizontal arrangement, one of ordinary skill in the art could easily determine the proper spatial arrangement of the yarn packages through routine experimentation for a given application.

With respect to the addition of a second yarn package arrangement, the simple addition of another yarn package arrangement cannot be considered an inventive

Art Unit: 3765

concept. To simply add another identical device to increase capacity is a well-known and widely practiced technique in the art of all types of manufacturing. Therefore it would have been obvious to add an additional yarn-packaging device to the overall device to increase the processing capacity of the yarn packaging and feeding device.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Muromoto, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4991. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-530, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 703-305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bhm  
September 26, 2005

  
JOHN S. CALVERT  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700